

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Otis	Howe		Little Rock	Arkansas	AGAINST	I believe the science says that as the temperatures get warmer, dicamba formulations get more volatile and have a greater tendency to move off target. I believe the university research that has been done here in Arkansas and in other states shows this. I believe the regulations should be left like they were in 2020. I would ask the Board to follow the science.	Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required law.
Maureen	McClung	Arkansas Audubon Society	Conway	Faulkner	AGAINST	I am writing to you on behalf of the Arkansas Audubon Society We are firmly opposed to lifting the current state-level restrictions on using dicamba. We have sent a full letter to the acting chair. Thank you.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.
Jeremy	Ross	University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture	Des Arc	Prairie	AGAINST		
Henry	Watkins		Lepanto	Poinsett	AGAINST	I am against the third party rule-making request asking for extended deadlines for the application of dicamba. Last year several trees in my yard were damaged by dicamba or another auxin herbicide. A Plant Board inspector confirmed the damage but was unable to confirm a source (CF20-0249). The trees are showing similar symptoms this year. If the trees die the value of my property will go down but there will be nothing that I can do about it but complain to the Plant Board. The deadlines that are currently in force have not protected my property from damage. Extending the deadlines will only exacerbate the problems caused by a defective herbicide. Please don't allow that to happen.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states,
Jerry	Fuller		Poplar Grove	Phillips	AGAINST	I am AGAINST the third-party rule-making request to adopt the full federal label. Please maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1-mile buffers for sensitive crops, and preventing glyphosate in the tank mix.  It was a very sad day when my neighbor sprayed his cotton in the middle of July because Bayer chemical company told him it was safe. He killed a white oak tree that my cattle have been using for shade the last 40 years.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Danny	Townsend	Arkansas green industry association	Bryant	Arkansas	AGAINST	The new proposal is arbitrary in nature and follows no scientific directives. It was proposed solely for the purpose of easing restrictions on a herbicide that has a proven historical record of off-target damages from late season applications. This proposal should not even be considered without scientific backing of which it has none.	Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by law.
Michael	Dougan		Jonesboro	Arkansas	AGAINST	My name is Michael Bruce Dougan. At present I am a Distinguished Professor of History Emeritus at Arkansas State University. I grew up in Neosho, Missouri, alongside the Missouri and North Arkansas Railroad. The first money I earned was picking strawberries, a crop my family had grown, and from Neosho all the way to Helena strawberries were a major crop. So were tomatoes, the Red Gold of the Ozarks. My PhD is from Emory University and my first Arkansas history publication came before I joined the Department of History at Arkansas State in 1970. My sweeping state history, Arkansas Odyssey,	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by law.
Keith	Tacker	Farmer	Tyronza	Poinsett	AGAINST	The plant board should listen to the university scientists. The problem is the plant board members don't get paid by the university. They get paid by Bayer. Whatever Bayer wants, the Plant Board says yes. Also the governor not only wants a seat on the board of Wal-Mart, he wants a seat on the board of Bayer as well. I farm clean soybeans and have never sprayed dicamba. I don't need it, neither does my pecan trees, but they get drifted on every year, and have quit producing. I guess it's just nature. The nature of greed. Keith Tacker	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by law.
J paul	Sumner	Ag business	Carlisle	Lonoke	AGAINST	Drift issue has not been solved nor ever will be ! We all know this including our governor and the plant board - we have other technology that can be used more safely so there are choices - to watch what has happened over the last several years of arm twisting - trying to change the make up of the plant board and meeting with the governor and others is sickening to watch -	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
COOPER	TAYLOR	Land owner and drift victim	Lake City	Craighead	AGAINST	If you can't keep it on your land, and off mine, it doesn't need to be used. I don't care what someone does on their place, but it shouldn't keep me from using and enjoying mine as I see fit. Everyone's rights should be equal.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.
Brian	Rose		Leachville	Mississippi	AGAINST	We farm 300 acres of watermelon, 350 acres of pumpkin & 120 acres of greenbeans in the Leachville area, in addition to our cotton and peanuts. This rule, although if followed should preclude most any spraying in our area due to the number of peanut fields, poses a grave danger to highly profitable and capital intensive food crops grown in our area. No matter the distance restriction, there will be illegal applications that cannot be pin-pointed & therefore leave us with no legal recourse when our crops are damaged. I have pigweed issues on my farm as well, but there are options available, no matter what the vocal supporters claim.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Chad	Brewer		Marion	Crittenden	AGAINST	a. Xtend and XtendFlex weed control systems are not the only effective method of Palmer amaranth control. Integrated pest management requires open access to multiple weed control options, which widespread dicamba off-target movement severely limits. b. The EPA is questioning the sufficiency of the restrictions in the federal labels and admits to past political pressure leading to a rushed, ill-informed decision. The federal labels may not be sufficient to prevent dicamba off-target movement onto susceptible plant species. c. 7% of dicamba injury claims since 2017 have no cited violations, suggesting that even when applied correctly dicamba doesn't remain in the target field. d. Ecological damage can go beyond non-dicamba tolerant soybean	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Ramey	Stiles	Farmer	Marianna	Lee	AGAINST	I am concerned that the federal label restrictions won't be followed and there will be widespread misuse causing a disaster to non-tolerant crops. There is a not a proven ability to enforce misuse penalties when there are large-scale violations being committed.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
jeff	price		pocahontas	Randolph	AGAINST	dicamba is a kill to all pollinators especially honey bees. This chemical needs to be outlawed completely. It takes years for some plant life to come back and for trees its decades to recover. This mean orchards etc.. Our bee population is in trouble and this chemical is only making it worse. Bees used to make gallons upon gallons of honey and now there lucky to make enough to make it through the winter because of dicamba.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Jeff	Lambert		Wynne	Cross	AGAINST		
Kevin	Smith		Lake Village	Arkansas	AGAINST	I've had damage on my property in 2019 and 2020. Pine trees in the Delta are nearly extinct now. I see many other trees damaged. Enough is enough. I grew up working on farms in the 70s and 80s and farmed some of my own land from 2002 to 2013 and have never had issues with off target spray. Off target applications, drift, using volatile chemicals at wrong times and wrong places have no place in the industry. Let's have some respect for our neighbors. Keep the restrictions in place.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
william	knight		Dell	Arkansas	AGAINST	You won't enforce rules in place now	This state has the most effective enforcement in the country
Kyle	Fuller	Ray Fuller Farms	Poplar Grove	Phillips	AGAINST	I Think we should stick to last years rule or do away with in crop use completely and make dicamba a burndown or pre plant option only.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Roger	Gipson		Corning		AGAINST		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Karen	Seale	Citizen	Little Rock	Pulaski	AGAINST	Please keep the current restrictions on the use of dicamba. As a customer of pesticide free fruits and vegetables, it is important to me to protect specialty and organic crops from damage, not to mention the damage these chemical cause to bees and other pollinators.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Jason	Rudick		181 Woodruff 462	Woodruff	AGAINST	I believe that in both crops of soybeans and cotton other herbicide technology offerings are available that will not move off target following correct application such as Enlist E3 technology. Dicamba movement post application infringes on growers rights to grow whichever technology they choose for their farm.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. This is why the board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Jeff	Lowery	Na	Barton	Phillips	AGAINST	Sprayed my garden and trees last year,y'all know who done it,he got a warning letter I got damaged plants is this fair ? Oh I forgot he has more money than me ! 24d is just as bad needs to stop too !	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Heath	Donner		Manila	Mississippi	AGAINST	I urge the plant board to reconsider the changes that are proposed. Our farm has been impacted by offtarget Dicamba every year since 2017. Our non-Dicamba soybeans have been hurt every year. No single point source has been identified. Following the federal label would increase the damage to non tolerant crops of which will include over a thousand acres of peanuts this year. There is even internal discussions within the EPA that the current registration was tainted by influence of certain companies. Please reconsider these rule changes.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Jonathan	Bobbitt	Farmer	Lake City	Craighead	AGAINST	Extending the spray date deadline on dicamba would be detrimental to non-gmo soybeans, gardens, and the overall health of the environment. It drifts and inverts in way further than anyone wants to claim. If it can't be kept on the treated field in has no place in agriculture. It will only cause problems between the general public and farmers But also put a strain on farmer vs farmer relationships.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Dalys	Isaac		Harrisburg	Arkansas	AGAINST	I farm in Poinsett County Arkansas. Spraying of dicamba directly hinders diversity in agriculture for our state. Non- GMO and organic farming are two fast growing agriculture markets at risk in AR. These farmers need help with controlling the spraying of Dicamba. To expend effort in growing a crop more sustainably, only to have it killed by late spring dicamba applications, does not seem like forward thinking to me. Consultants are overusing/pushing Dicamba, falsely thinking it is the only option. (It is the EASIEST thing for them to recommend.)  Areas affected 1. Pollination problems in corn 2. Non-GMO, Liberty Link and R-up Ready soybeans and cotton 3. Pecans, cottonwoods. 4. Home gardeners 5. Greenhouses We need a hearing!	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Amy	Singleton	I was affected by the use of dicamba in 2020	Holly Grove	Monroe	AGAINST	Damage to my trees, shrubs and flowers. This has been going on for several years but last year was the worst. If this is allowed to continue, ever tree an shrub in my yard will die. Don't even attempt to have a garden, a complete waste of time an money.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Scott	Smith	Cleburne County Beekeepers Association	Heber Springs	Cleburne	AGAINST		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Randal	Camp		Shreveport		AGAINST	I have purchased 60 acres in Montgomery county with the intent of starting a honey bee farm. This sickens me to know that the NATURAL state is considering this proposal! I plan on being a full time resident of Arkansas in the next one year time span. I could have selected any state but knew down deep that this state cared for its residents and there values. Please reconsider ANY thought of passing this proposed Dicamba rule! It's not good for any of us in the long run, especially our hard working aviary farms that we need so much for our plants to produce crops. Without pollinators our crops will never produce food or goods. Thank you for thinking this through logically.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Randal	Camp		Shreveport		AGAINST	I have purchased 60 acres of property in Montgomery county for the purpose of build a honey bee farm with plans to be a full time resident within the next 11/2 tears.	
Scott	Gothard		5172 N St Hwy 137 Spur Blytheville	Mississippi	AGAINST	It is utterly ridiculous to even suggest it. As it is now I've been sprayed as late as August here at home and the farm in Clay Co had damage last spring. Why were two no voters replaced last year anyway? Was it just by chance a guy who does promotional videos for dicamba happened to be one of the replacements?	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Steve	Cline	Arkansas beekeepers association president	BONO	Craighead	AGAINST	As a beekeeper I have noticed that there is very little pollen in areas where dicamba is used. In these areas the bees don't have enough pollen to raise new bees in the fall and are very weak going into winter and many don't survive the winter.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.
Edmond	Barnes		4218 hwy 306 w colt	St Francis	AGAINST		
Ray	Maynard		Pocahontas	Randolph	AGAINST	There is too much pesticide used already and there is a danger from ground water contamination and spray drift to adjacent property owners.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Kathleen	Teague		CHESTER	Crawford	AGAINST	I find it very difficult to understand why you would consider removing the controls that are in place to protect our environment from this dangerous chemical. If anything we should be strengthening the controls. What possible purpose can be served by removing the training requirement other than to ensure improper use? What possible purpose can be served by removing the record keeping requirement other than to improve the chances that criminals will be allowed, unincumbered to continue dangerous practices? Does no one care about our environment any more or is it just money, money, money? Very sad.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Cindy	Osborne	AR Natural Heritage Commission	Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Would like to see a more cautious approach, but encourage the State Plant Board to maintain existing protections, and to take a pro-active, science based approach to regulating dicamba use in the state for the protection of all of the state's resources	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas.The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by law.



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Dan	Scheiman	Audubon Arkansas			Against	Reject the Petition for Rulemaking because there is no research to support it. Mr. Hydrick was wrong in asserting that all other states just go by the federal label. Dr. Jason Norsworthy's research, and other scientific studies indicates that Mr. Hydrick is incorrect about the low volatility of dicamba. Politics interfered with the EPA's decision regarding the June 30 and July 30 cutoff dates and that decision was made under the previous administration in an effort to bind the hands of the next administration. The EPA did not intend for the cutoff dates to apply uniformly across the country. The damage done to soybeans by dicamba is not superficial, but results in yield loss, and the impact of one-time annual exposure or multiple exposures on perineal species is unknown. Buffer zones do not prevent exposure through volatility. Audubon has organized the last two years to document dicamba symptoms. Weeds are demonstrating resistance to dicamba and there are alternatives. Dicamba complaints have remained steady since 2018, and any decrease should be attributed to the increased use of	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required law. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Joe	Smith	Speciality Crop Farmer	West Helena	Phillips	Against	Follow University Science on dicamba. Dicamba not the only solution to pigweed problems. 1 mille buffer or more is needed for proteciton. May 25th cutoff date and prohibition on mixing Roundupin the tank with dicamba is needed.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required law.
Robin	Kuykendall		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Raymond	Murphy		Caraway	Craighead	Against	Lost 4 peach, 2 apple trees, and 9 blackberry. Don't allow Bayer Chemical to ruin Arkansas. No pollen, no bees, no food.	The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states
Winsley	Druand		Tyronza (farm location)	Poinsett	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	<b><u>NOTE: THIS COMMENT APPLIES TO LINES 41-241, ALL SUBMITTED BY AUDUBON ARKANSAS:</u></b> The board appreciates your comments as well as the comments of agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The board voted to maintain buffers larger than those in surrounding states, and to maintain its current rule to prohibit glyphosate tank mix. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Beth	Schaffhauser		Marvell	Phillips	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Bill and Shirley	Middleton		Gosnell	Mississippi	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Amy	Singleton		Holly Grove	Monroe	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
John	Clower		Mcgehee	Desha	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Bland	Currie		Wilmont	Ashley	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Crystal Hudson,	Smith		Perryville	Perry	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Dawn	Custer		Roland	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rachel	Furman		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mary	Ryan		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kerin	Hawkins		Leachville	Mississippi	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kimberly	Harper		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kathleen	Hudson		Mountain Home	Baxter	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Robin	McClea		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Phyllis	McKuin		Morrilton	Conway	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Larry	Price		Russellville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
David	Parham		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sharon	Roberson		Wooster	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Brandon	Rush		Springdale	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Anthony	Aloi		Jacksonville	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Cathy	Ross		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sue	Jenkerson		Batesville	Independence	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tami	Lambert		Jacksonville	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jonna	Hussey		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Waverland	Watson		Watson	Desha	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Barbara	Wilson		Clarksville	Johnson	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Barbara	Belew		Lexa	Phillips	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Robert	Day		Bentonville	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gail	Raspberry		Bay	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rachel	Engebrecht		Morrilton	Conway	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Naomi	Fletcher		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Karen	Gulley		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
April	Ambrose		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lisa	Morrison		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tom	Utley		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops.	
Lynne	Clifton		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the amay 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicamba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Julie	Furlow		Odgen	Little River	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gladys	Whitney		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jayne	Bloesch		Searcy	White	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mara	Leveritt		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintian the current standard	
Nancy	Baxter		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Barry	Bennett		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gary	Doublin		Hot Springs Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Yannik	Dwyer		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Jo	Elsken		Fort Smith	Sebastian	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rachael	Freeman		Newark	Independence	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mary	Gocio		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Hans	Heaney		Jerusalem	Conway	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kim	Hillis		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jean	Langford		Huntsville	Madison	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ken	Leonard		Bentonville	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gregory	Mack		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Maryanne	Morrow		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kristi	Peterson		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Betty	Scott		Batesville	Independence	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mary	Waldo		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Robert	Brewer		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lane	Bryant		West Fork	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Thomas	Caldwell		Marble Falls	Newton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Peri	Doubleday		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Laird	Duran		Russellville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lisa	Ellis		Springdale	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tracy	Fortuny		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jeri	Garcia		Hot Springs Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Anne	Holcomb		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Vicki	Jett		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kathrine	Jones		Pottsville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lynne	Larson		Eureka Springs	Carroll	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Virginia	McClure		Benton	Saline	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
James	McCollum		Emerson	Columbia	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
James	McGregor		Russellville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Bill	Morley		Maumelle	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jonathan	Perrodin		Springdale	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
A	Port		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Keep dicamba restrictitons in place.	
Brenda	Scheffler		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Theresa	Stasiw		Springdale	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Danny and Linda	Steele		Bryant	Saline	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lolly	Tindol		Pettigrew	Madison	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jacqueline	Walker		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jennifer	West		Alexander	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sherry	Adams		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Elizabeth	Alderman		Hot Springs National Park	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Janet	Badeaux		Maumelle	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Judy	Bash		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Daniel	Beadle		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Melissa	Bird		Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Luanne	Blaylock		Maumelle	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tracy	Brophy		Bentonville	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Carolyn	Brown		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tamzen	Bryant		Springdale	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Julie	Camp		Mountain Home	Baxter	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sherry	Clements		Cabot	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rebecca	Coleman		Bryant	Saline	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Rel	Corbin		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rebecca	Corley		Jasper	Newton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Paul	Crossman		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Harry Lyle	Darby		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Penny	Davis		Cabot	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Frits	Druff		Mountain Home	Baxter	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Carolyn	Dyer		Hot Springs Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rebekah	Evans		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gene	Ford		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ed	French		Hot Springs National Park	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Judith	Frindik		Maumelle	Pulsaki	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Cassie	Gill		Cabot	Pulaski	against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Maxine	Haley		Shirley	Van Buren	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Don	Hamilton		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sheena	Hare		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Bradley	Harrison		Fort Smith	Sebastian	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Larry	Hedrick		Hot Srpings National Park	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Daniel	Henry		Benton	Saline	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Karen	Hicks		Hot Srpings Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Steve	Holst		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Heather	Hudgens		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Charles	James		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Brandon	Johnson		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
William	Jones		Conway	Falkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Ron	Kew		Hot Springs	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Denise	Klinger		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mary	Koscielniak		Hot Srpings Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ralph	Kunz		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Nan	Lawler		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Stephanie	Lusk		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Karen	Mahoney		Maumelle	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ben	Martinez		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Fred	McLane		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Anne	Mesrobian		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Al	Notter		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ginger	Paquin		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Simon	Politzer		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Paula	Pirce-kumar		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Penny	Prickett		Jacksonville	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tina	Pryor		Ward	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lynne	R		Fort Smith	Sebastian	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
William	Rager		Hot Springs	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Roxann	Riedel		Kingston	Madison	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lance	Runion		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Keith	Runion		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ada	Ryan		Bentonville	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lynne	Schaefer		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Benny	Scott		Flippin	Marion	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Gloria	Springer		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
David	Thomas		Batesville	Independence	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kelly	Wallace		Cabot	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Gary	Welchman		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Cara	Wilsey		Hot Springs National Park	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Shir Lee	Wison		Hot Springs National Park	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Donna	Wolz		Paragould	Greene	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Dorothy	Zabecki		Hot Springs Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Thomas	Allen		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Brad	Bailey		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Dave	Bitner		Austin	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Leesa	Boon		Mountain View	Stone	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Mitzi	Cole		Pearcy	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kevin	Conaty		Amity	Clark	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Mary Anne	Daves		Dardanelle	Yell	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Michele	Dematti		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Pam	Euper		Fort Smith	Sebastain	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sybil	Evans		Bald Knob	White	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Fred	Goldthrope		Mayflower	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Kayla	Gomance		Bismark	Hot Springs	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Ahren	Herbert-Wilson		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Deborah	Houston		Fort Smith	Sebastian	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Susan	Jenkins		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
William	King		Elkins	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Melinda	kinnaird		Hot Springs	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Timothy	LaMange		North Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Tom and Jean	Leslie		Harrison	Boone	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Joseph	Long		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Carolyn	Minson		Hot Srpings Village	Garland	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Karen	Meller		Fayetteville	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Katheirne	Murdock		Greenland	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Julianne	Odum		Farmington	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Christine	Perry		Greenbrier	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
John	Ray		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
James	Richardson		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Donna	Simpson		Jonesboro	Craighead	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
John	Sutherland		Whitie Hall	Jefferson	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Brian	Teeter		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Linda	Vanblaricom		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jenny	Wiedower		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Christine	Wilson		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Lynell	Withers		Russellville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Renee	Dejarnatte		Poplar Grove	Phillips	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Dylan	Edgell		Russellville	Pope	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Michael	Green		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Nancy	Hartney		Fayetteville	Washington	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Monica	Mabry		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Frances	Currie		New Orleans		Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Marcia	Ingram		Bella Vista	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Rusty	Leewright		Austin	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Patty	McLean		Conway	Faulkner	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Elizabeth	Olson		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Sara	Wittenberg		Centerton	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Amy	Chandler		England	Lonoke	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Diane	Phillips		Perry	Perry	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Katherine	Strause		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Linda	Padgett		Rogers	Benton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Molly	Smith		Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jessica	Davis		Cabot	Pulaski	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	
Jack	Stewart		Jasper	Newton	Against	Maintain all of the current state-level restrictions including the May 25 cutoff, 1 mile buffers for dicmba-sensitive crops, and prevent glyphosate in the tank mix.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Richard	Mays				Against	The petition for rulemaking was presented by someone who is an advocate, rather than an objective expert. The Plant Board failed to give adequate notice of the proposed rule change. The copy of the rule with underlined text, and text that has strikethroughs, does not give the reader an adequate explanation. This does not meet the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). Additionally, it is different from the resolution voted on and approved by the Board. The Board's Notice that "the proposed changes will be to consider changes for the use of dicamba in the State of Arkansas" is misleading. The Board has violated the APA by submitting a proposed rule that is significantly different from that approved by the Board. A proposed rule should be substantially the same from the commencement of rulemaking to final approval. The Plant Board has not provided a reasoned explanation for the change in the rule adopted in 2018 as required by the APA. The Board did not review the best reasonably obtainable evidence showing a need for, <del>repeal of, and alternatives to the existing</del>	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. The Board followed the Administrative Procedure Act in the promulgation process. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.
Cal	McCastlain	Dover Dixon Horne PLLC/Freedom to Farm	Little Rock	Pulaski	Against	The proposed rule has not been promulgated in compliance with the APA. The proposed rule is invalid because it is not what the Board voted on. The Hydrick petition requested the implementation of a full, federally approved label for all reduced volatility dicamba formulations in the State of Arkansas without additional restrictions. The motion passed by the Board stated, "Accept the Hydrick petition for full federal label for dicamba use over the top of dicamba tolerant crops." The rule published for comment reads "All pesticides containing dicamba shall be used in compliance with their respective federal labels." This simple all-inclusive statement will create regulatory and environmental havoc in Arkansas. There are different classes of dicamba products called burndown products and there are material differences between in-crop and burndown products. The proposed rule is a material and dangerous departure from the current dicamba rule and was not part of the motion approved by the Board. This is not a situation where the <del>public comments and public hearing might lead</del>	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. The Board followed the Administrative Procedure Act in the promulgation process. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
UADA Scientist		University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture			Against	There are no scientific results that show dicamba can be used throughout the year in Arkansas without substantially injuring crops. There is, however, an abundance of complaint data showing that dicamba applications made in the summer months will cause a substantial number of complaints. Complaints to the Plant Board that lead to fines originate from the occurrence of symptomology caused by chemical trespass, not the need for documented yield loss. Before commercialization and launch of the dicamba formulations labeled for 2017, the Plant Board was repeatedly told by Monsanto (now Bayer CropScience) and BASF that there would be no issues with their low volatile forms of dicamba. We are not aware of new published or unpublished research since the last approval of the dicamba regulations on December 2, 2020 that supports or refutes the labels or would support a regulation change. The current science and limitations placed on testing new volatility-reducing agents in Arkansas lead one to question the safe use of dicamba herbicides during the summer. There	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.
Jeremy	Ross	University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Extension Agronomist			Against	Due to the volatility and off-target movement problems with the dicamba products labeled for in-season use, and the availability of soybean varieties that have comparable yield potential to RR2X varieties in other herbicide technologies, I would ask that the Arkansas State Plant Board keep the current dicamba regulations with the May 25 cutoff on applications, buffers restrictions around University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture's Research Stations, and the prohibit the tank mixing of glyphosate with any dicamba product.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Dan	Scheiman	Audubon Arkansas			AGAINST	I urge you to reject the petition. Bayer knew the product was flawed. Documents released in Bader peach orchards show this. They blame the farmer and now they site the EPA reviews. These are being violated. U of A have summarized the best available science in their comments. No use without damaging crops. You have voted down extra restrictions before and now you are voting to strip the restriction and not follow the science. Why don't other states have restrictions like ours? The EPA intends for the states to set additional restrictions. Arkansas has the Plant Board that allows for public input and other states do not. Restrictions like 2,4-D and dicamba are proof that the system is working. Why are there no organic growers, watermelon growers, etc. now included on the board? We are a seed grower and we work with growers around the state to grow seeds for native plants.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act. The Board adopted buffer zones laerger than those of other states.
Cal	McCastlin	Dover Dixon Horne PLLC			AGAINST	I represent Freedom to Farm and includes growers who are at risk of dicamba. 2 questions to ask: 1. Why change the burndown rules. And 2. What changed since December to March 3rd. 3 points: 1. Boots on the ground standard. That is find and I have no problem with boots on the ground. Growers who have had damage and their boots have been on their own ground. Hearing from those with firsthand risk and problems. 2. VRAs – all the discussion has come from the VRA. Dr. Westburg was candid and thorough. VRA reduces the spike in volatility when Glyphosate is added to the dicamba. Purpose and limits of the VRA, it brings it back to parity. We bring it back to parity for the rules we already have. So what changed? 3. Standards of Rule Making. Technical legal standard that you are charged to follow when you are asked to change the rule. What changed since Dec? What legal standard changed that you are required to follow? You have a sworn duty to serve the state of Arkansas and to follow the legal standards. You bring a wide variety but	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. The Board followed the Administrative Procedure Act in the promulgation process. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Richard	Mays				AGAINST	Attorney. Any comments are supplemental to my written comments. Want to say I am not antifarmer. This is a problem of environmental harm. 2 major problems. Procedural and substantive. You are charged with following the law and rules of the APAct. Notice of Rule making must contain the statement or substance of the intended action or rule involved. The published notices did not comply with the law and said only "changes to the use of dicamba". The rule submitted to the Gov and here is not the same rule adopted in the March 3rd meeting of this board. There are a number of procedural flaws. The substantive issues are more serious and can't be solved by this rule making. The board shall not amend a rule unless the changed is based on the scientific evidence. You have no scientific evidence to support the proposed rule. You have scientific evidence to support the environmental. You don't have any technical, scientific, etc. evidence to overturn the rules. You commissioned Trey Koger to do a survey and he made a report to the board to state he found dicamba injury in	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers. The Board followed the Administrative Procedure Act in the promulgation process. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.
Jerry	Fuller				AGAINST	Farmer and stock calf operator from Phillips County. Farm cotton, corn, wheat, soybeans, and cattle. My dads first question was what does the U of A recommend? Does the university of Arkansas recommend in crop dicamba use? I haven't heard any research that says dicamba will stay in the field where it is applied. We have delt with 2,4-D use from rice fields onto cotton. You can grow soybeans without the use of dicamba and have a clean crop over the top. Scientist say it will move off target in hot weather and I am opposed to use after May 25th.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers. Science is one of many factors the board considered in its decision. The board also considered many other factors as required by the Administrative Procedure Act.The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Chad	Brewer				AGAINST	Argument Summation a. Xtend and XtendFlex weed control systems are not the only effective method of Palmer amaranth control. There are other viable options readily available in the market today. Integrated pest management requires open access to multiple weed control options, which widespread dicamba off-target movement severely limits. b. The EPA is questioning the sufficiency of the restrictions in the federal labels and admits to past political pressure leading to a rushed, ill-informed decision. The federal labels may not be sufficient to prevent dicamba off-target movement onto susceptible plant species. c. Dicamba does have more scrutiny than other herbicides and for good reason. 67% of dicamba injury claims since 2017 have no cited violations, suggesting that even when applied correctly dicamba doesn't remain in the target field. d. Ecological damage can go beyond non-dicamba tolerant soybean fields. In the midsouth there has been documented impacts from dicamba off-target movement to non-row crop areas including public natural areas, fruit and vegetable	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides..
Clay	Stewart	C & C Melon Company LLC	Monette	Craighead	AGAINST	Commercial growers of watermelons since 1965 and grow 300 acres of watermelons each year. My watermelon crop was damaged by dicamba in 2020 and the estimated damage by the insurance company was \$85,000 which I felt was estimated low. I am against the dicamba rule as written. Restore the April 16th cutoff and impose the 80-degree cutoff. Double the buffers for sensitive crops such as watermelons and natural areas. Farmers rushed for time ignore the rules and regulations. I support the new record keeping requirements.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Jewel	Harris		Caraway	Craighead	AGAINST	I am a 73 year old disabled widow and I try to plant a garden to have food to put up frozen or canned to help me through the winter. 3 years I have lost all my garden, flowers, and shrubs from roundup then 2 years lost garden, flowers, and shrubs from dicamba. Last year I had 48 tomato plants putting on tomatoes, 6 rows of peas, yellow squash, green squash, okra, green peppers, hot peppers. The dicamba hit June 23rd and I lost everything in the garden, all plants in the yard. We do not need anymore bad chemicals killing us. They need to stop the drift.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Malinda	Ruiz		Caraway	Craighead	AGAINST	Dicamba destroyed part of my tomatoes and green beans, and most of my flowers. I have asthma every time the man sprayed the fields I can smell it and it messes up my breathing and I also have lupus. Discontinue use of this chemical. My health and the health and welfare of other people matters. Stop spraying this chemical.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Tommy	Schoolfield		Caraway	Craighead	AGAINST	I planted a garden last year, well whoever sprayed I lost my apple trees, tomato plants, squash, purple hull peas, okra, cucumbers, and peppers. I called the plant board and they came out and took a look and said it looked like dicamba. So wish that this chemical could be put as stop to. People plant things for a reason to make a living so put a stop to it.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Tasha	Schoolfield		Caraway	Craighead	AGAINST	Every year every time the fields are sprayed we lose half the garden if not all. So if there can be a stop to the dicamba it needs to be. We are tired of losing everything that we work hard on. STOP THE DICAMBA!	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Crystal	Hudson				AGAINST	Over 300 of my 30 year old trees were killed by the use of the herbicide dicamba. I can't believe that anyone would relax in any way the restrictions on this highly destructive herbicide, if anything I think because of it's destructive nature and drift ability it shouldn't be used at all. The loss of native trees, animal habitat, personal property will be massive. Dicamba is a proven highly destructive agent and should not be used anywhere around any private dwelling, wildlife refuge, private property, and speciality crops. Put simply if you can't put it and keep it only on the inteneded area then don't use it. How would you like watching all that you worked for being destroyed year by year by an invisible enemy that you can't defend yourself from. I am against the third party request and keep restrictions in place supported by University weed scientists.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Danette	Lawrie		Lepanto	Poinsett	AGAINST	Please don't extend the time dicamba can be sprayed into June and July. I have had great damage to my trees and plants. My children and I have more than 6000 acres of land in Poinsett and Mississippi County. I am well aware of what chemicals are needed for farming. You are there for our protection. This is not a chemical to increase in time. It's very damaging after May.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Danny	Townsend				AGAINST	This proposal comes with no scientific evidence to back it up. It is merely an appeal to give greater freedom to farmers in the use of a known volatile product. As an original member of the Governor's Dicamba Task Force, I have seen no evidence from the beginning to support the loosening of restrictions on this herbicide, yet we continue to do so. We originally decided to restrict the usage and not allow treatments after May 15th and review the evidence as presented. The evidence clearly shows that the restrictions should not have been lifted. Many researchers have presented evidence against the continued over-the-top use of this herbicide. All the evidence has been ignored. This latest loosening of restrictions used EPA re-authorization as evidence of safety. It has been stated by EPA itself that the organization was unduly influenced by politics in this decision. The board's enforcement arm and inspectors have been consistently overwhelmed by off-target damage complaints. This should be evidence enough to warrant further restriction <del>not loosening of regulations. It should not</del>	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Juliane	Portis		West Memphis	Crittenden	AGAINST	I am my family have farmed in Poionsett and Mississippi Counties for over 100 years. We are totally against the use of dicamba herbicide. Why would the plant board agree to extend the use of this harmful chemical? I would appreciate an answer, it makes no sense. Is someone on the plant board doing a favor for someone at the expense of the rest of us and the environment?	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Malcolm	Haigwood			Jackson	AGAINST	A custom and commercial applicator in Jackson County Arkansas. My concerns are that extending the cut off date would cause major damage to susceptible plants. With so many different technoligoes being used in our area I'm concerned of damage. Being an applicator I understand the volitility of dicamba, even the newer products. Please consider the past problems we have had. I am alos a grower & have not had a problem with drift or volatility with other technology. We have been able to keep our beans clean. I am against any change in extending spray cut off.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Maureen R.	McClung	Arkansas Audubon Society			AGAINST	We are firmly opposed to lifting the current state-level restrictions on using dicamba. When the Arkansas Plant Board originally banned the use of dicamba between April and October the Board showed solid decision making aimed at protecting the lands and livelihoods of farmers who do not have dicamba-resistant crops. The decision also benefitted ecosystems beyond agricultural areas. Use of dicamba during times of the year when these animals are mating and raising their young is no doubt detrimental to sustaining their populations. In more recent years the restrictions on the use of dicamba have been chipped away. Now, the board has just barely voted to undo all the state-level restrictions put in place since 2017 so that dicamba can be sprayed well into June and July when scientific evidence tells us this compound will volatilize and spread to crops of neighbors and the ecosystems beyond. The right thing to do here is to maintain the current timing of the ban on dicamba.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Dr. Michael	Dougan	The J.V. Bell House	Jonesboro	Craighead	AGAINST	The first money I earned was picking strawberries, a crop my family had grown and from Neosho all the way to Helena strawberries were a major crop. So were tomatoes, the Rold Gold of the Ozarks. Once flourishing home gardens are often wiped out and dicamba is even reported in towns. Second, attempts to establish Speciality Crops face Dicamba drift. One imperiled crop is sweet potatoes. Whitton Farms pioneered in Community Supported Agriculture but continues to suffer from Dicamba Drift. It appears to be a general consensus that filing complaints is useless. The State Plant Board is seen as the Dicamba Protector Board. The Delta has rich lands very suitable for major production of speciality crops and some minor efforts have been made, but nothing can flourish if Dicamba is given a free pass. I have yet to hear of one case where justice was done, but I have read that Dicamba opponents have been physically threatened. Dicamba makes a joke of the term Natural State.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Pam	Alexander		Jonesboro	Craighead	AGAINST	Please do not amend the rules for Dicamba use in Arkansas to allow for an extended period of use by farmers and applicators. Extending the use into mid-summer only exacerbates the potential for problems and does not resolve concerns with regard to off-target impacts. As a resident of NE Ark, I wish to see this herbicide banned altogether. I believe the industries impacted by this rule are resourceful and resilient enough to find suitable alternatives.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Rimey	Stiles			Lee	AGAINST	Cotton, peanut, and soybean farmer in Lee County who plants both Enlist and Extend traited cotton and soybeans, the majority of my farm is planted to Enlist Cotton. I was surprised at the ease in which the federal registration was granted and extended for several dicamba product recently. There are some restrictions embedded in the new label I am afraid will be ignored by many producers who may or may not have been using it illegally in the past. Allowing a longer window of use is going to negatively affect many crop yields except on dicamba tolerant crops. The law can't be changed in time for this year's crop use and dicamba is not our last line of defense against the Palmer pigweed. We do still have options with other herbicide technologies such as the Enlist system. I want to emphasize that the use of Enlist on Enlist traited crops provides less potential for off-target movement and I feel gives growers an avenue to battle weed pressure and survive without jeopardizing rights of others.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Randy	Sanders	Sanders Farms Inc.	Tillar	Desha	AGAINST	My wife and I own 400 acres and my mother 440 acres 3.5 miles west of Kelso along with 115 acres of woodlands 1.25 miles SW of Rohwer. The cut off date for dicamba should not be extended but should be more restrictive. In 2019 and 2020 I had dicamba damage on 3 sides of my woods and the damage was there all year after green-up with no acorns. All damage came from hispeed Spayers. They should have to use hoods after March 1 and downwind buffer of 300ft. My trees mean as much as my soybean crop.No tank mixes other than drift control agents.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Raymond	Murphy	Arkansas Bee Keeper Assoc.	Caraway	Craighead	AGAINST	In 2020 I lost 4 peach, 2 apple, and 9 blackberries from dicamba. Please don't allow Bayer Chemical to ruin Arkansas. No pollen, no bees, no food.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Reed	Storey	Reed Storey Farms	Marvell	Phillips	AGAINST	The rule the board sent to the governor was not the rule that was approved, there the current rule should be null and void. The assumption the Xtend Cropping system was the only option to control pigweeds is completely false. The Enlist Cropping system is also available and has been proven to be a safer system when it comes to application and off target movement. I have been using the Enlist system for the last two years with no issues when I have applied Enlist products. By allowing the full season application of Xtend products, you will essentially be forcing growers to move to a more dangerous system. Several other states have as strict or stricter regulations as Arkansas. The argument from multiple citizens in favor of Dicamba say states such as TN and MO extension personnel show that Xtend products are safe. This is false. Please vote to keep the current May 25 cutoff date.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Sam	Lee				AGAINST	I am writing to ask not to extend the cutoff date, if anything lessen the date or even better completely ban dicamba. I am retired and rely on garden vegetables as a way of living as do many others in NE Ark. I myself was threatened being told what little I have is worth nothing and that spraying would be continued regardless of outcome. I beg of you to please help to fight for the rights of the public. Don't let our health and wellbeing be jeopardized by a few farmers that have no respect for others or property.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Sophia	Fowler		Jasper	Newton	AGAINST	I oppose any use of dicamba in AR. Research supports that secondary movement (volatilization) is a significant contributor to dicamba movement from treated areas. Combining this volatility with extreme sensitivity of non-resistant soybean makes it essentially impossible to use current formulations of dicamba in a landscape where both resistant and susceptible soybean are grown without significant crop injury.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Terris	Matthews	Matthews Ridgview Farms	Wynne	Cross	AGAINST	We are vegetable growers in Cross County. We employ 80-100 full time employees and about 150 seasonal employees. We also have other farmers that grow for us. As a speciality crop farmer in AR, the use of dicamba could lead to a huge loss of our crop due to the severity of harm it causes. Losing a large portion of our crop could have a negative impact to employment by causing job loss. The negative effects of dicamba strongly outweigh the positives for specialty farmers. Matthews Ridgeview Farms is against the use of dicamba.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Timmy	Brannon	Brannon Farms	Rector	Clay	AGAINST	Dicamba needs to be banned. Our fields of cotton and soybeans have been drastically damaged. We have lost thousands of dollars since dicamba was first used. Our neighbor farms spary in windy conditions which violate the guidelines given by the board. Our garden has been destroyed every year. We have lost trees, fruit trees, and flowers due to the spraying. Keep restrictions now in place or make unusable altogether.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Wendy	Shoffner				AGAINST	Arkansas took the right step in the beginning, going against its neighboring states, in restricting the application of dicamba during the growing season.No this herbicide is not necessary tool in weed management. There are plenty of safer options, pigweeds can be controlled with other technologies. Science has proven over and over that the volatility of this product makes it dangerous to non-dicamba crops. DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT RESTRICTIONS.	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Daniel	Loftin		Jonesboro		FOR		
Roger	Reddick		Paragould	Greene	FOR		
Brittney	Turner		Newport	Jackson	FOR	Arkansas farmers want federal label for dicamba	The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board adopted buffer zones larger than those of other states.
Bert	Ribinaon		Marion		FOR		
Connie	Robinson		Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
Lee	Wiener		Turrell		FOR		
Browning	Holt	Three Amigos Farm LLC	Proctor		FOR		
Trevor	Pouncey	Three Amigos Farm LLC	Proctor		FOR		
Seth	Tacker	Seth Tacker Farms	Marion		FOR		
Trey	Tacker	Trey Tacker Farms	Marion		FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	<b><u>NOTE: THE FOLLOWING RESPONSE APPLIES TO LINES 282-601:</u></b> The board appreciates your comments, as well as the comments by other agricultural producers and believes the proposed rule will benefit all of Arkansas. The Board recognized the need to allow farmers methods to deal with pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides, and to allow the use of the product in a fashion more in accordance with surrounding states.

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Dylan	Pouncey	Three Amigos Farm LLC	Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Robert	Pouncey	Two Brothers Farms	Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Bert	Pouncey	Two Brothers Farms	Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Dennis	Cupples		Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Marianne	Cupples	Bobby Cupples Farms JV	Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Bobby	Cupples	Bobby Cupples Farms JV, BC & DC Farms LLC	Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Alicia	Mooney	Big Earl Farms	Marion	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Kevin	Mooney	Big Earl Farms	Marion	Crittenden	FOR	The use of dicamba is paramount to row crop and rice farmers in Arkansas. Pigweed has become resistant to the glyphosate product, Liberty. States bordering Arkansas follow the federal label regarding dicamba use. I am in favor of Arkansas doing the same.	
Blake	Hart		Marion	Mississippi	FOR	I am in favor of the proposed rule change to accept federal label for the in crop use of dicamba	
Nick	Moore		Morrilton		FOR		
Ryan	Sullivan	Farmer	Burdette		FOR	We need the ability to use Dicamba based off the federal label like our neighboring states. I support the new rule. Farmers need dicamba as a tool in our toolbox just like we need other new pesticides when they come out.	
Morgan	Fenter		Proctor		FOR		
Nathan	Sullivan		Wynne		FOR	Farmers need dicamba.	
Karen	Schwantz		LEXA	Phillips	FOR		
Mark	Henderson		Keiser		FOR		
Danny	Moore		Marion		FOR		
Allen	Culp		924 Hwy 318 south	Phillips	FOR		
Blake	Culp		949 Hwy 318 south	Phillips	FOR		
Jimmy	Harrison	Jimmy Harrison Farms	2895 west county road 650 Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Brandon	Jarrett		Steele		FOR		
Greg	Shirley		606 Davidson Street		FOR		
Matt	Lunsford		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Timothy	Kelley		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Lance	Spurlock		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Kelley	Hopper		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Doyle	Hopper	Old Prairie Farms	Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Sherrie	Hopper	Old Prairie Farms	Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Jarred	Hopper	Big River Farms	Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR	This is a tool we need access to after may 25.	
Herman	Fourie		Tyronza	Arkansas	FOR		
Herrick	Norcross	Farmer	Tyronza	Poinsett	FOR		
Benjamin	Mullen		Jonesboro		FOR		
Will	Fogleman		602 Campbell Dr		FOR	Farmer really need dicamba	
Don	Hardy		Monette		FOR		
Eddie	Young		Tyronza		FOR	We farmers at this point in time , have no options to replace the chemical in question.	
Greg	Hart		Manila		FOR		
Ruben	Jordaan		Tyronza	Crittenden	FOR		
John	Wallace	Wallace Farms	Crawfordsville	Crittenden	FOR	Farmers need and deserve the use of this chemical!	
Eileen	Liebenberg		Tyronza	Arkansas	FOR		
Edward	Bell		Wilson		FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Gail	Fogleman		Marion		FOR	Need Dicamba on my farm. Dicamba has never hurt plants in my community.	
John	Bramucci		Marion		FOR		
Arnell	Craig		Marvell		FOR		
Robbie	Bloodworth		Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
William	Smith		Blytheville		FOR		
Neil	Culp		794 Hwy 318 south	Phillips	FOR		
Leigh	Barnes		West Helena		FOR		
Lee	Barnes	Farmer	West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Ronnie	Loeschner		119 Sequoia west helena		FOR	I am for the new proposed dicamba rule	
Josh	Keith		Jonesboro		FOR		
Mike	McCarty		Osceola		FOR	Farmers need this so they can control pigweed in their crops.	
Paul	Sellers		Elaine	Phillips	FOR	We need this product to control the resistant weeds that have taken over our farmland. The earlier problems with drift has become a nonissue since everyone in the county plants crops that dicamba does not harm.	
Hershell	Schwantz		Lexa	Phillips	FOR	Most farmers around me use Dicamba, so it makes sense for me to use it also. It is the most effective on problem weeds. Arkansas should follow the federal rule.	
Kayli	Schwantz		Lexa	Phillips	FOR		
jerry	flynn		elaine	Phillips	FOR	if we follow these guidelines, dicamba will work	
Loren	Cates	Arkansas Agricultural Consultant	Marion	Crittenden	FOR	I am a agricultural consultant in northeast Arkansas that has field experience in row crops for over 40 years.Dealing with herbicide resistance in weed control has been a severe problem particularly palmer pigweed. The use of dicamba in crop plays a major role in saving other chemistry for future use. Having the ability to use multiple modes of action throughout the year will help us keep these products for future use. New technology will be preserved by the use of dicamba in crop.Our farmers need to be able to use dicamba in crop.	
Kenneth	Gentry		396 Phillips 307 West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Larry	McClendon		Marianna	Lee	FOR		
Albert	Noble	Spray tech	Dewitt	Arkansas	FOR		
Lathan	Lovell		Wynne		FOR	There is already enough limitations! No need in anymore!!!	
Kaleb	Hall		P.O. Box 447	Phillips	FOR		
Ned	Young		3714 hwy 316 north lexa	Phillips	FOR		
Chris	Carnathan	Farmer	Lexa	Phillips	FOR	We really need this to control weeds in the farm.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Judd	Stephens		Helena		FOR	Farmers need this technology to survive	
Rebecca Jane	Taylor		Helena	Phillips	FOR	I'm tired of chopping weeds with a hoe	
Jonathan	Yancey		Helena		FOR		
Danny	Young		Poplar Grove	Phillips	FOR		
Tommy	Young		Poplar grove	Phillips	FOR		
mike	Taylor	Farmer	Helena	Phillips	FOR	Pigweed are killing us	
Shawn	Simpson		McGehee		FOR		
Erin	Cannon		West Helena		FOR		
Nathan	Young		Poplar grove	Phillips	FOR		
charlotte	CANNON	cannon farms	ELAINE	Arkansas	FOR		
Timothy	Jones		West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Steve	Cannon	Farmer	Elaine		FOR		
Kyle	Cannon	KEC Farms	114 Cannon Place West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Michael	Taylor	Farmer	Helena	Phillips	FOR	We farm in Helena Ar, pigweed control is our biggest challenge. The Liberty and Enlist systems may control the weeds but we cannot get the yields out of them that we can the Dicambia crops. With the inputs on the rise we need all the yield we can get.	
Cole	Young		9051 hwy 49 poplar grove		FOR	We need this	
Diego	Manfio	Argreen Inc.	Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
Silverio	Manfio	Toltec Farm Inc.	Scott	Lonoke	FOR		
Claudia	Manfio	ARKIT Inc.	Gould	Lincoln	FOR		
Luis	Manfio	Shale Farm Inc.	Haynes	Lee	FOR		
Horacio	Madorno	Meadow Farm LLC	Sherrill	Jefferson	FOR		
Horacio	Guzman	St. Andrew's Farm LLC	Sherrill	Jefferson	FOR		
Kim	Freeman	Barnett Vassaur FLP	Altheimer	Jefferson	FOR		
Sherrie	Miles		McGehee	Desha	FOR		
Ryane	Miles		McGehee	Desha	FOR		
Ryan	Elliott		Lake Village	Chicot	FOR	The technology has been approved on the federal level, it's time the state of arkansas joins its neighboring Delta states.	
Rusty	Carter		P.O. Box 197	Lee	FOR		
Brenda	Taylor	Pat Vassaur Farms LLC	Altheimer	Jefferson	FOR		
Coleman	Taylor	Indian Bayou 31 LLC	Coy	Lonoke	FOR		
Andrew	Gill		Mcgehee		FOR		
Robb	Dedman	Farmer	Rison	Desha	FOR		
Andy	Gill	Farmer	McGehee	Desha	FOR		
Charles	Miles		McGehee	Desha	FOR		
Layne	Miles	Mikes farms	Mcgehee	Desha	FOR	I'm a farmer in SE Arkansas. We defiantly need the federal label	
Bart	Eldridge		Stuttgart		FOR	When need this in our farming practice	
Pace	Hindsley		Marvell		FOR	We need this to control weeds.	
Jammy	Turner	Farmer	Gillett	Arkansas	FOR	Regulations should be in place to punish "bad actors", not to disadvantage those that act responsibly. State Plant Board officials are expected to enforce. That is what they should do.	
Clay	Harper		Elaine		FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Michael	Russell		Turner	Phillips	FOR		
Zach	Carter		505 north 4th street		FOR		
Elijah	Carter	Farmer	Marmaduke	Greene	FOR	We are the only state around us that is not allowed to follow federal label. We get damage every year from Missouri, because we are so close. We are extremely handicapped with variety choices because most seed companies sink all of their research into their xtend varieties, leaving us with few options. Glyphosate and Glufosinate are irrelevant in our area for control of Palmer Anaramth. Our chemical program is much more costly and less effective since dicamba label was pulled. Our operation has suffered greatly by the decision to pull the label in Arkansas.	
Clay	Dubach		Marvell		FOR		
Justin	Higgins	J&N Farms	Marianna		FOR		
Byshea	Morgan		Piggott		FOR	Arkansas is at a disadvantage to the Dicamba rule compared to surrounding states.	
Michael	Morgan		Greenway	Clay	FOR	We are at a disadvantage to all the other surrounding states in fighting the war on pigweeds. We are also still paying for tech fee's to grow the highest yielding varieties we have when we cannot use the technology. We are fighting a loosing battle that is eventually going to take over land and make it unfarmable.	
Richaan	Smit		Rector	Arkansas	FOR	Dicamba allows us to grow a better crop  Dicamba saves us fro hiring people to pull pigweeds.  It keeps the pigweed population down and they will take the farmground over.	
Anthony	Pryor		Lexa		FOR		
Brady	Foran		Marvell		FOR		
Adam	Catlett		Marvell	Phillips	FOR		
John	Bryant		Lexa	Phillips	FOR		
Kotton	Guest		Holly Grove	Phillips	FOR	We need this to keep producing crop at competitive prices	
Lee	Malone		Dyess	Mississippi	FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Tyler	Hydrick	Crop Consultant	Jonesboro	Craighead	FOR	My name is Tyler Hydrick. I am a consultant based out of Jonesboro, AR. I am a licensed consultant (AR #480) and a CCA (CCA #499886). I consult approximately 100,000 ac of rice, soybeans, cotton, and corn. The majority of my acreage involves Xtend/Xtendflex cotton and soybeans. A cutoff date earlier than federal label is unacceptable when compared to surrounding states. Also, previous buffers were extremely restrictive. Farmers should be allowed to spray the technology in accordance with the federal label, similar to its surrounding states. Buffers should not be enhanced. Temperature restrictions should not interfere with applications. Cutoffs should not be prior to June 30.	
Noah	May		Rector	Clay	FOR		
Marla	Crancer		Rector	Clay	FOR	Farmers need to take pressure of Liberty or we will lose that herbicide to resistants. If you allow farmers to spray dicamba hopefully we will slow resistants or stop it completely.	
Daniel	Baldwin		Piggott		FOR		
Logan	Ballard		Piggott		FOR	<p>I think farmers are more educated now about how to use dicamba.</p> <p>Also, If we are allowed to go off the federal label, I feel like we would see more farmers plant Xtend instead of Enlist or LL for pigweed control and protection. Leading to less "cupping" and complaints. Majority of farmers don't get all of their beans planted in time to use Dicamba for their layby shot, so they're essentially forced to use LL or Enlist beans to control pigweeds.</p> <p>Lastly, there is absolutely way too much pressure on Liberty to control pigweeds in cotton and soybeans for that matter. There's already resistance to glufosinate, but the sooner we act, the better possibility we have of stopping that resistance or at least slowing it down.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Stephen	Higginbothom		P.O. Box 883		FOR	This proposed rule is critical for Arkansas farmers located in the Delta region. Thank you	
Weldon	Jackson		Marvel	Phillips	FOR		
David R.	Gregory		West Helena	Phillips	FOR	The farmers in Arkansas should be afforded the same rights as the farmers in our neighboring states and throughout the United States.	
Scott	Gibson	Row crop farmer	Jonesboro	Craighead	FOR	New formulations can be used safely. Put an emphasis on punishment for those that use is stupidly.	
dwight	jackson		MANILA	Mississippi	FOR	Arkansas farmers need dicamba to control resistant pigweed, and the only way to accomplish that is to follow the federal label.	
Zachary	Seiler		Bradley		FOR		
Dale	Seiler		Bradley	Lafayette	FOR	A much needed chemical and very safe in the new formulation	
Hunter	Wood	Consultant	737 Cr 744 colt	Cross	FOR	I think this technology needs to be utilized for growers across the state to help have weed free fields and be more profitable.	
Gary	Burton		Bradley	Lafayette	FOR	I farm in both Arkansas and Louisiana and have experience with engenia herbicide. We need this technology to control resistant pigweed. I am convinced it is safe if used according to label restrictions. It is a black eye on farmers in general for the misuse that has occurred in certain areas. This was especially true in the nor'easter Arkansas area. Please don't penalize us for a few bad farmers. Most likely they weren't even using the approved né formulations or were applying it foolishly when temperature inversions were apparent.	
Godfrey	White, Jr		Osceola	Arkansas	FOR	I am 100% for the rule change to allow Arkansas farmers to use the federal label	
Kenneth	Crosskno		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Clayton	Jeter		Wabaseka	Jefferson	FOR		
Dee	Henderson	Tlh farms	England	Lonoke	FOR		
David	Wallace	Vincent Soybean & Grain Co, Inc; Wallace Farms Inc	Crawfordsville	Crittenden	FOR		
Mary grace	mcauley		west memphis		FOR		
Mailey	mcauley		west memphis		FOR		
Suzy	mcauley		west memphis		FOR		
Bill	mcauley		west memphis		FOR		
Phil	Mccarty		West Memphis		FOR		
Ronnie	Pankey	Farmer	Snow Lake	Desha	FOR	We need dicamba bad for pig weed problems	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Clay	Young		Lexa	Phillips	FOR	The entire world is going by federal rules why not Arkansas???	
Joe	Tacker		Tyronza		FOR		
Lance	Cissell		Joiner		FOR		
Tyler	Mcclendon		Marianna		FOR		
Justin	Carlow		Marion	Crittenden	FOR	Need the technology	
Philip	James		Gillett	Arkansas	FOR	Dicamba is a necessary tool for pigweed control in southeast Arkansas. Federal label cutoffs would fit our needed application timeline.	
Shane	Frost	Crop consultant	Paragould		FOR	Arkansas farmers have had their hands tied with a may 25 cutoff. If the federal label isn't going to be observed, then it at least needs to be end of June.	
Chris	Cato		Jonesboro		FOR		
Jacob	Clifton	Clifton Crop Consulting, LLC.	Jonesboro	Craighead	FOR		
Gordon	Miller		Leachville	Arkansas	FOR	We are in extreme need of this technology. Liberty herbicide is failing with pigweeds developing immunity to it. Please help us to be as effective and cost efficient as our surrounding states.	
Greg	Smith		LAKE CITY	Arkansas	FOR	Changing the dicamba rule to the use of the federal label is essential to the sustainability of row crop agriculture in Arkansas. With the documentation of resistance of Palmer Amaranth to glufosinate last winter, dicamba will give growers another tool to manage this resistance. Producers have been forced to use glufosinate and residual herbicides (all group 15) to control this weed in season for years while other surrounding states have been using the dicamba (federal label) with much greater success.	
John	Stephens		West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Vanessa	Stephens		West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Harry	Stephens III		Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Bret	Tacker	Farmer	Tyronza	Mississippi	FOR	We should be able to spray the same as the rest of the states ,it makes no sense that 4 states around you can spray a month longer	
John	Brenner	Twin Lakes Farm II	Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
John	Crisler	Twin lakes farm II	Parkin	Cross	FOR		
Ricky	Rogers		Earle	Crittenden	FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Chad	Fullerton	Owner	Dexter		FOR	Finally, still in awe how Arkansas put us at a disadvantage against every bordering state in weed control and used a few peoples opinions and overrode science. We farm in both states own land in both states and the rules are inconsistent with any of the 6 bordering states. The few ruling the many must end.	
Godfrey	White		Osceola		FOR		
Dawn	Barre		Jonesboro	Craighead	FOR		
harry b	elrod sr		basett		FOR		
matthew	cissell		joiner		FOR		
kaci	bell		wilson		FOR		
don	cissell		JOINER	Arkansas	FOR		
Tracy	Griffin		Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Lee	Osborne		Wynne	Arkansas	FOR		
Dean	Gurley		Blytheville		FOR		
Bryan	Wagner	All Boys Farms	1001 E Lakeshore, Jonesboro, Ar, 72401	Craighead	FOR		
Victor	Thomason		Osceola		FOR		
Diane	Thomason		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Russell	Thomason		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Todd	Sisk		Wynne	Cross	FOR		
Louis	Martinez		Helena		FOR		
Scott	Fogleman		Marion		FOR		
David	Fogleman		2520 Marion Lake Rd		FOR		
Jennifer	Hudson		West Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Weaver	Majors		Little Rock		FOR		
Jacob	Davis		Blytheville	Arkansas	FOR		
Linda	Farr		Proctor		FOR	We have many herbicides that are treated to fields that border my home in the country. I even have a greenhouse that stays full all year round of succulent plants. I have never had any damage from dicamba that has been treated in bordering fields. It can be used correctly	
Tami	Farr		Proctor	Crittenden	FOR	FARMERS NEED DICAMBA	
Chuck	Farr	Agriculture consultant	Crawfordsville	Crittenden	FOR	We are so limited with the number of herbicides that we have to control pigweed and even less post emerge that it is almost an emergency that we need this label	
Haley	Sanders		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR	Please allow farmers to use the technology associated with the Xtend program.	
Craig	Walker	Farmers /seed dealers	Wynne	Cross	FOR		
Alex	Flake		Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
Lance	Lovell	Farmer	Wynne	Cross	FOR	I think the EPA rules are plenty restrictive and the plant board doesn't need any extra.	
Ray	Pulliam		Proctor		FOR		
Gary	Davis		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Acy	Humphries		Earle	Crittenden	FOR	We need Dicamba in northeast Arkansas. With high input costs, we need a cheaper option to control the resistant weeds Arkansas farmers are facing. Time to put Arkansas farmers first	
David	Hill		Wynne		FOR		
Kevin	Mooney	Farmer	Marion	Crittenden	FOR	I farm mostly gumbo ground and need the later date because of having to plant later.	
Robert	Davis		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Tyler	Davis	Big Lake Farms	Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR		
Blaine	Richardson		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Madie	Strop		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Brian	Stoner	Farmer	West helena	Phillips	FOR		
Adam	Rawls		Marion	Crittenden	FOR		
Gwenda	Cissell		Joiner		FOR		
Carl	Cissell		Joiner		FOR		
Amy	Cissell		Joiner		FOR		
Lance	Cissell		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Harris	Kearney		40 South Jefferson street apt.77	Mississippi	FOR		
Jim	Sanders		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Courtney	Dodd		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Harry	Stephens		Helena	Phillips	FOR		
Dylan	Allbritton		Jonesboro		FOR		
Jack	Dalton		Jonesboro	Craighead	FOR		
Kaci	Bell		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Lyle	Wheeler	Farmer	West Helena	Phillips	FOR	I believe the vast majority of the problems with Dicamba drift have been caused by off label applications, such as spraying at night or using regular Dicamba instead of a lower volatile formulation.	
Jon	Williams		Luxora		FOR		
Benton	Felts		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Lex	Goble		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Mak	Elder		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR	Dicamba technology is very beneficial for farmers	
Jack	Stephens		Helena		FOR		
William J	Carnathan	Carnathan Family Farms	Lexa	Phillips	FOR		
John	King	Farmer	Helena	Phillips	FOR	Very necessary product.	
Rebekah	Kendrick		West helena	Phillips	FOR		
Elizabeth	Stephens		Helena		FOR		
Cade	Carnathan		Poplar grove		FOR		
Rob	Kendrick		West Helena		FOR		
Kimbrough	Stephens		Helena		FOR		
Phyllis	Gammill		315 hey 140		FOR		
Cross	Fogleman		Marion	Crittenden	FOR	Pigweeds are a huge problem on my farm.	
Lillian	Fogleman		Marion	Crittenden	FOR	Pig weeds cause serious issues on my farm.	
Kelly	Fogleman		618 big lake dr	Crittenden	FOR		
Alan	Hargraved		Helena	Phillips	FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Charles	Williams III		Crawfordsville		FOR	glufosinate resistance in pigweed, Enlist varieties don't yield. Put Arkansas farmers on a level playing field with farmers in other states.	
Aaron	Huckabee		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
David	Gammill	Gammill Farms	Tyronza		FOR		
Alan	Vonkanel		Lexa		FOR		
Dustin	Randolph		Keiser		FOR		
Haven	Gammill		Jonesboro		FOR		
Caley	Felts		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Michael	Gammill		6935 south 308 tyronza		FOR		
Jake	Dodd	Producer	Wilson	Mississippi	FOR	As a producer in Mississippi county Arkansas, I am for the new proposal for the federal label to be allowed on Dicamba.	
James	Allbritton		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Jimmy	Allbritton		Maumelle		FOR		
Karen	Jacobs		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Mallory	Goble		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Madison	Almond		Jonesboro		FOR		
Cindy	Goble		Wilson		FOR		
Alex	Dean		Marion		FOR		
Kenneth	Ellis		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Will	Aughenbaugh		Joiner		FOR		
Timothy	Reid		Joiner	Arkansas	FOR		
Krystal	Elder		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Kevin	Goble		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Todd	Burnham		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR	I support the use of EPA labels for all ag pesticides.	
Kim	Randolph		Osceola		FOR		
Faith	Enmon		Osceola		FOR		
Gracie	Enmon		Osceola		FOR		
Patches	Enmon		Osceola		FOR		
Travis	Brown		Tyronza	Poinsett	FOR		
Cary	Enmon		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Harvey	Roach Jr		Lepanto		FOR		
Stephanie	Tacker		Tyronza		FOR		
Dusty	Markham		3978 south highway 119 west osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
JARED	CISSELL		JOINER		FOR		
Zach	Strop		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
HARRY	ELROD		JOINER	Arkansas	FOR		
Justin	Tacker	Crop Consultant at Delta Ag Consultants LLC	Tyronza	Poinsett	FOR		
CAROLYN	CISSELL		JOINER	Arkansas	FOR		
JOSEPH	CISSELL		JOINER	Arkansas	FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Mike	McCarty		Osceola	Arkansas	FOR	It is getting old listening to our neighbors in surrounding states talk about the success they are having using this new dicamba technology. Looks like we are setting up for another wet spring, will our own plant board once again be responsible for limiting our access to this product? You have the ability to fix this mess, and we are counting on you to make it happen. - Mike McCarty	
Matt	Gammill		Tyronza		FOR		
David	Williams		Keiser	Mississippi	FOR		
KELLY	ELROD		JOINER	Arkansas	FOR		
James	Robertson		3867 south state hwy 119 west		FOR		
Nickie	Bell		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Jason	Dunlap		Keiser	Mississippi	FOR		
Ronnie	Cox		Osceola	Mississippi	FOR		
Charles	Williams Jr		Marion		FOR	Every surrounding state is operating under the Full Federal label. Arkansas should, too.	
Marla	Cissell		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
John	Nelson		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Stephen	Reid	Reid Farms	Steele		FOR		
Weston	Cissell		Joiner	Mississippi	FOR		
Dean	Pirani		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Jack	Pirani		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Frank	McCarty		Osceola	Arkansas	FOR	Need Dicamba technology immediately!!	
Wren	Felts		Joiner		FOR		
Bobby	Gammill	R A Gammill & Son Inc	Tyronza		FOR	This rule has been working for the states bordering Arkansas!	
Perry	Galloway		Gregory		FOR		
Jenna	Pirani		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Edger	Allison	Ag chem.seed.feet.sales and service	Hughes	St Francis	FOR		
Timothy	Pirani		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Matt	Smith	Farmer	Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR	As an Arkansas row crop producer I feel it is imperative that we are allowed the use of the same weed control tools that every neighboring state allows. The use of the Xtend technology is critical in our fight against pigweed and the federal label is long overdue in the state of Arkansas.	
Becton	Bell		Wilson	Mississippi	FOR		
Bill	Apple		Marianna	Lee	FOR		
Douglas	Gore		Palestine	St Francis	FOR		

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Franklin	Fogleman		Marion		FOR	This rule is too late. We have needs this for 3 years. Science does not support the current rule. We are at an economic disadvantage adjoining states.  All pesticides move. Dicamba just shows what others do. Don't continue to treat it different.	
Michael	McCarty		Osceola	Arkansas	FOR	I cant stress enough how much this state needs to be able to utilize the Dicamba technology that every other surrounding state is using according to the FEDERAL LABEL. If you break down the actual complaints vs violations since 2017 that your agency supplied, It is a no brainer. Thanks - Michael McCarty	
Tanner	Bratton		West Memphis	Crittenden	FOR		
Donothan	Hartness		Hughes		FOR		
Johnny	Waldo	Osborne seed company	Parkin	Cross	FOR		
David	Taylor		Hughes		FOR		
John	Schultz		Jackson		FOR		
Jacob	Carroll		Des Arc	Prairie	FOR		
Jack	Ruffin		Marion		FOR	I am in favor of the proposed dicamba rules. Our farmers will be left unable to control weed resistance without this product. Failure to pass the new rule will result in a severe negative impact to the well being of our Arkansas farmers.	
Prentiss	Wood		West Memphis		FOR	This product is critical for our crop sustainability for the future of man kind.	
Matt	Rieckhoff		Marion	Crittenden	FOR	This product is a key to help our farmers fight resistant weeds.	
Mark	Moreland		Dumas		FOR	Window isn't long enough for the wet seasons that we tend to have.	
Zack	Moreland		Dumas	Desha	FOR		
Lee	Burrow		3393 North State Hwy 239	Mississippi	AGAINST	We must STOP using Dicamba. I am a farm and timber owner in Arkansas and Tennessee and am aware of the Round-up resistant plants. I am also the proud owner of various pine tree, garden and evergreen deaths from this chemical. Enough is enough!	
Cody	Weathers	Consultant	Caraway		FOR	Arkansas should not be limited from full use of dicamba technologies due to extensive resistant weeds found in row crop production.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Tom	Burnham		Blytheville	Mississippi	FOR	I believe that the EPA should make all pesticide restrictions as they are the most comprehensive and capable agency to make such determinations.	
Charles	Williams III		Crawfordsville	Crittenden	FOR	Every surrounding state has approved the full federal label for this technology. We are having difficulty controlling pigweed with glufosinate to the point it's pretty safe to say we have resistance issues. Arkansas data shows that the highest yielding Xtend varieties in the mid to late 4 maturity varieties outyield the top Enlist varieties by 7-8 bushels per acre	
Scott	Kay	BASF			For	The technology is critical in the battle against resistant pigweed and would encourage the Arkansas State Plant Board to adopt a regulation consistent with the federal label. This would put farmerws in Arkanss on the same playing field as their peers in the neighboring states and not at a competitive disadvantage.	
		Bayer			For	Growers in Arkansas continue to face increased weed pressure. Adopting the federal label for these low-volatility herbicides in Arkansas will bring a much-needed tool to Arkansas growers that is available to growers in the other states, while also ensuring that control measures are in place to protect the environment. Bayer Crop Science respectfully request that the Plant Board permit use of XtendiMax in Arkansas according to its federal label in 2021.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Charles	Williams				FOR	Put Arkansas farmers on a level playing field and let us use the product with the federal label. I have planted Liberty soybeans. 2017 was panic gripped us. Out of over a thousand the 134 confirmed a violation for use of Engenia. 2020 only 4 have a violation. 74 Audubon and 1 had a violation. Dr. Scheiman is a seed grower and so am I. Audubon responsible for 204 of the against. Grew non-extend 2017-2019 and while I saw symptoms, I didn't have a yield loss. I can only grow xtendflex beans and the other varieties yield less. No other state has a Plant Board that promulgates rules. Don't think the Board or the UofA has the interdisciplinary expertise necessary to make this decision.	
Michael	McCarty	Row Crop Farmer			FOR	Speaking on behalf of 16 different farmers today. Over 1000 complaints and only 134 violations. 2019 (omit 2020 because it isn't finished) 70 violations of the total. Audubon scouring the countryside. Pasture growers are using banvil and so are the forestry industry. We now have the ability to use a combination of products. The VRA will be added. Spreading out the application window spreads out the applications and will reduce atmospheric loading. Other states surrounding Arkansas are allowing use of the federal labels. I haven't had any information that states there are landscape issues. South AR plants earlier and they are able to use the product because they plant a month earlier. Level the playing field and let us complete with the surround states.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Tyler	Hydrick	Independent Crop Consultant - Petitioner			FOR	I respect the board. I cover thousands of acres this year. Please pay attention to the words that I said not what they thought they heard. Dr. Norsworthy is not taking into account the yield. I have not myself seen a tree die from dicamba, I did not lie to you. I gave my boots on the ground testimony. Buffers are a hotly contested topic. We heard buffers did not work. Dr. Slaton asked for my opinion and believe the federal label is accurate. I say the buffers are asinine. Consultants see more acres than anyone in the state and we do not have a seat on this board like they do in Louisiana. All technologies are being used and I am completely comfortable using the xtend technologies. Individuals have a personal vendetta against.	
Chuck	Farr	Independent Crop Consultant			FOR	Basically we have rules for cutoffs in Federal Labels and have new rules for VRAs in the federal labels. The opportunity and guidelines are set in place. We have 5 way resistances in all types of soybeans. No options left to control the weeds. MP-44 mention of volatility is only mentioned when Roundup is added to the tank. Nothing in the MP-44 about the temperature, volatility etc. Can use generic dicamba on corn and sorghum until May 25th. I want you to walk in my shoes, but haven't seen any of y'all around.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Chad	Fullerton				FOR	I move that we adopt the federal label on the use of the new low volatility formulations of dicamba to be sprayed on Dicamba tolerant crops but implement a June 30th cutoff. This motion also includes the 1 mile buffer to research stations. This motion includes a 1/4 mile buffer zone in all direction from non dicamba tolerant crops from April 16th through October 31st. This motion also includes an April 15th through October 31st restriction on non low volatility formulations. Burndown rules for non low volatility dicamba are to remain as is under the current rule. Certain applications of dicamba in turf, ornamental, forestry, pasture, etc. are accepted under the current rule and those exceptions should be maintained as part of this motion. Records of applications are kept in accordance with the federal label, and those records should be available for inspection by Plant Board representatives. This motion includes an emergency clause.	
Franklin	Fogleman	Fogleman Farms			FOR	5th generation row crop farmer from Marion. I speak on behalf of 37 east Arkansas farmers. 30 of these individuals didn't submit comment but are in favor of the proposed rule. Long journey but Ar farmers need a better set of rules to work with. Adaptation to the technology has increased, etc. 2021 we will have xtendflex and the addition of a VRA which will both increase the ability to manage these weeds. Note neighbors still want and need to legally apply dicamba under a more reasonable set of rules. We request your support to level the playing field with adjacent states.	



FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Ty	Witten	Bayer Crop Science			FOR	speaking on behalf of Bayer Crop Science. We request they permit the use of Xtendimax according to the federal label. Board is to consider the research findings of EPA. Research includes field studies from several study states. EPA registration was a result of analysis of the best science available and EPA reviewed over 25 new studies and approved the registration. These are not manufacturer field studies but were performed by Universities. Arkansas and other states have benefit of the EPAs detailed review and you should consider the evidence form the EPA. EPA included new use directions and restrictions. EPA struck a balance to reduce off site movement by adding the VRA to control the potential for volatility even further. Bayer presented data from studies for the VRA and they included university studies. These studies conducted in the Bootheel represent the conditions in Arkansas. Federal label requires the 240 feet and extends to 310 feet where vulnerable species are located. The federal label provides more understandable directions for	
Harry	Stephens				FOR	Told no damage by the weed scientist. Now have pigweeds that are showing resistance and the whole supply chain has shortages, but we have plenty of dicamba because they have lots left over. I called about the supply chain to make sure there was adequate supply. My family has bills to pay and I don't think I will change the mid of the lady off on the other side of the state. Plant Board members need to serve Arkansas and give us the federal label rules.	
Michael	Taylor	Farmer			FOR	Farmer in Phillips County. The enlist system doesn't produce high yields and xtend has 10 more bushel. The bird man is driving this but Audubon isn't filling in the financial gap. I would be great if we could plant the varieties that yield and not be held hostage by the Plant Board and the Audubon society.	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Perry	Galloway				FOR	<p>Dan Scheiman grows more seed than I do and he was able to go to the seed growers association. Farmers do care about birds and I bought birdseed and handfed birds during the snowstorm so they wouldn't starve. (Showed pictures of bird nests sprayed with dicamba and A robin nest) I moved the Robin nest on my sprayer to a safer spot and mother raised two baby robins. I definitely support the birds and the environment. Annual death stats for birds in the US. Two billion are killed by cats and the second is household products. Mr. Scheiman part of the COA – cat owners association. One mile buffer is too much. Not right for an organic producer to farm next to a conventional farmer and then be allowed a 1 mile buffer. Organic not an issue in Arkansas. Supply of glufosinate supply is greatly constrained. Supply of glufosinate and confirmed resistance to glufosinate are causing issues. If Fuller family is supportive the U of A why did they go to MS State.</p>	
West	Higginbothom				FOR	<p>Farmer in Lee County and fully support the petition for rule making. Support the petition because Arkansas farmers are being put at a competitive disadvantage. Economics dicamba is the most efficient and increase in bushels. I agree with Mr. Hydrick and we depend on our consultants to advise us on what works on our farms and we lean on their large scale experiences. I farm on the borders of the Lon Mann research station and I do not use auxin and have horrible pigweeds on this farm. I didn't have any symptoms on the conventional beans that border the university experiment station. I don't know anyone that doesn't plant dicamba traited beans in our area. Farmers deserve a fair playing field on and off the farm.</p>	

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	AFFILIATION	CITY	COUNTY	FOR/AGAINST	COMMENTS (Optional)	Agency Response
Reed	Storey	Self			AGAINST	Farmer in east central Arkansas and are here to vote against the proposed rule. Enlist system is available and it is a profitable and safe system. I grow Enlist and non enlist. I have experienced plenty of dicamba symptoms on my non extend soybeans. Missouri and Tennessee have data that backs up Dr. Norsworthy data.	
Justin	Phillips				FOR	Consultant in NE Arkansas and look at several thousand acres. What we are seeing is pigweed resistance (5 way) and we have got to have something in the toolbox. With the flex beans available to us we are able to spray other options when we need to have borders with susceptible crops. We haven't given the federal label a chance once while our surrounding states have. I ask you vote for the federal label for the state of Arkansas.	
		Corteva			Other	Daniel Loftin, Roger Reddick, Browning Holt, Trevor Pouncey, Seth Tacker, Trye Tacker, Ned Young, Chris Carnathan, Judd Stephens, Rebecca Jane Taylor, Jonathan Yancey, Danny Young, Tommy Young, Noah May, Maria CrancerGreg Smith, John Stephens, Vanessa Stephens,	The Board apprecitates your comments. The Board believes it is necessary to allow a wider variety of responses to pigweed that has shown resistance to other herbicides. The Board believes the rule will benefit all of Arkansas.
						<u>273</u>	
						<u>327</u>	
						<u>1</u>	