

Please Read Instructions on Reverse Side of Yellow copy

Arkansas Claims Commission

E5

Please print in ink or type

JAN 03 2014

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION
Of the State of Arkansas

- Mr.
Mrs.
Ms.
Miss

Billy Aaron, #110649

Claimant

Do Not Write in These Spaces
Claim No. 14-0512-CC
Date Filed January 3, 2014
Amount of Claim \$ 12,500.00
Fund DOC

vs.
State of Arkansas, Respondent

Dept. of Correction

Failure to Follow Procedure

COMPLAINT

Billy Aaron, #110649

POB 400, Grady, AR 71644

(Name)

(Street or R.F.D. & No.)

(City)

County of

represented by

(State) (Zip Code) (Daytime Phone No.)

(Legal Counsel, if any, for Claim)

of (Street and No.) (City) (State) (Zip Code) (Phone No.) (Fax No.) says:

State agency involved: Arkansas Department of Correction Amount sought: \$12,500.00

Month, day, year and place of incident or service: October 31, 2013 at approx 10:45 am

Explanation: I requested Computerized Speech Test Analysis "The Detector Test" to be conducted on me, and Col Griff Pen. The officers who work at Okarche River Correctional Unit, Live Hills Arkansas and Col Griff Pen both violated AR 295 Employee Conduct Standards D. Conduct Standards and penalties for non-compliance 13. a. falsification of work records, employment applications, other forms or applications. b. falsification of written verbal statements/information. c. falsification of income in taxation and for files. d. fraudulent claims for reimbursement or benefit. I am missing the pictures of my mother that became, some clear photo headphones, time I watch and a t-shirt. The camera in East Evolation will show Col Griff Pen entering cell 14 on 10/30/2013 with property bags and inventories and property. On December 15, 2013 at approx 8:35 am Col B. Deaves confiscated my photos from me to be placed in inmate property bag See Form F-401 that have violated Administrative Regulations and Administrative Directives and Policies and Procedures. I am requesting a hearing so camera can be retrieved to show Col Griff Pen in East Evolation

As parts of this complaint, the claimant makes the statements, and answers the following questions, as indicated: (1) Has claim been presented to any state department or officer thereof?

(Yes or No) when? (Month) (Day) (Year) to whom? (Department)

and that \$ was paid thereon: (2) Has any third person or corporation an interest in this claim? if so, state name and address

(Name) (Street or R.F.D. & No.) (City) (State) (Zip Code)

and that the nature thereof is as follows: and was acquired on, in the following manner:

THE UNDERSIGNED states on oath that he or she is familiar with the matters and things set forth in the above complaint, and that he or she verify believes that they are true.

Billy Charles Aaron (Print Claimant/Representative Name) Billy Charles Aaron (Signature of Claimant/Representative)

SWORN TO and subscribed before me at Malvern AR (City) (State) on this 27 day of December, 2013 (Date) (Month) (Year) Charles Couch (Notary Public)



My Commission Expires: 10 (Month) 14 (Day) 2021 (Year)

SP1- R7/99

entering cell 14.

Administrative Regulations 225 Employee Conduct Standards for falsifying anything is automatic discharge. I want Col Griffin to be punished to the full degree. Claimant is requesting \$12,500.00 and a hearing set for his Complaint filed against Respondent.

Respondent Arkansas Department of Correction, State of Arkansas, David Watson, Jay Hobbs, Lesel O. May, M. Jackson, and Floria Washington knew about the statement Joel Walker had given to Donald Vilches, Federal Affairs Office September 16, 2005 which shows Claimant shall of notes been placed on P.A.C.A. as Ad-Seq (See Appendix 12 Memorandum from Donald Vilches to: Jay Hobbs dated September 16, 2005). Claimant had presented this information before the Classification Committee for proper Career, 60 Day Release, Warden Review, Director's Review, and etc. All Respondents above stated that had this information but continued to violate P.A.'s, P.O.'s, Statutes, and Court Rules. Claimant is now housed at Vance Supermax Correctional Facility since this incident. It is now December 13, 2013. This issue has been addressed so many times but to no avail nothing has changed. Which is stopping Claimant from going home and going to work. Respondent has violated Administrative Directive 11-63 Page 7 of 11 and Page 8 of 11. Claimant is eligible for job assignment and advance should P.A.C.A. shall be removed according to Administrative Directive 11-63 XI. Restrictions and Reviews B. 2. states these shall be denied periods conducted by the Classification

Committee and the Director or appropriate Deputy/Assistant Director to determine continuation of single-man housing status. The single-man housing status that was the result of a finding the inmate meets the definition of sexual predator under this policy may be ~~changed~~ changed by the Classification Committee with the warden approval. All releases of PPA inmates from single-man housing status under this policy require approval by the Director or appropriate Deputy/Assistant Director. See attached pages Administrative Directive 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination Act page 7 of 11 and page 8 of 11.

225 Employee Conduct Standards D. Conduct Standards and Penalties for Non-Compliance 13. a. Fabrication of records, employment applications, other forms or applications. b. Fabrication of written/verbal statements/information. c. Fabrication of inmate information and/or files. d. Fraudulent claims for reimbursement or benefit. See attached page Administrative Regulation 225. Respondent has violated Administrative Directive 11-63. Claimant is entitled to \$12,500.00 dollars for them violating Administrative Regulations and Administrative Directives and Policies and Procedures.

Claimant is requesting  
1. A hearing set, date, and time for all evidence to be presented.  
2. The amount of \$12,500.00 for violating AO's, AR's, and Statutes and Court rules.  
3.

3. For Respondent to pay for legal Copies Lien  
and legal Postage Liens.

WHEAT FORT Claimant prays state Claims  
Commission enter an order to set a hearing on the  
complaint herein, and for all relief which ~~may~~ maybe  
just and proper.

December 30, 2013  
Date

Respectfully Submitted  
Billy Charles Aaron  
Mr. Billy Charles Aaron  
Pro Se  
Yarnes Supermax Unit  
P.O. Box 400  
Coada Ar 71644-0400

New Address:

Billy Charles Aaron #110649  
Ocechita Hites Correctional Unit  
P.O. Box 1630  
Molena Arkansas 72104

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION

BILLY AARON (ADC 110649)

RECEIVED  
CLAIMANT

V. NO. 14-0512-CC

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

RESPONDENT

ANSWER

COMES NOW the Respondent, Arkansas Department of Correction, and for its Answer, states and alleges as follows:

1. Respondent denies liability in this claim and asserts it will hold the Claimant to strict proof on each allegation unless admitted by Respondent. Respondent reserves the right to plead further upon completion of the investigation by internal affairs and requests the matter be held in abeyance until the investigation is complete.
2. The applicable account information required by the Commission is:
 

a. Agency number: 0480	b. Cost Center: HCA0100
c. Internal Order: 340301	d. Fund Center: 509

WHEREFORE, for the reasons cited above the Respondent prays that the claim be dismissed with prejudice and that Claimant take nothing, or in the alternative that the matter be held in abeyance until completion of the investigation by internal affairs.

Respectfully submitted,  
Department of Correction Office of Counsel

*Lisa Mills Wilkins*  
 LISA MILLS WILKINS Ark. Bar #87190  
 Attorney Supervisor  
 Post Office Box 8707  
 Pine Bluff, AR 71611  
 (870)267-6844 Office  
 (870)267-6373 Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this pleading has been served this 17 day of January, 2014, on the Claimant by placing a copy of the same in the U. S. Mail, regular postage to:

Billy Aaron (ADC 110649)  
Varner Super Max  
PO Box 400  
Grady, AR 71644-0400

*Lisa Mills Wilkins*  
 LISA MILLS WILKINS Ark. Bar #87190

Arkansas  
State Claims Commission  
JAN 23 2014  
RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION

BILLY AARON (ADC #110649)

CLAIMANT

V.

NO. 14-0512-CC

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

RESPONDENT

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

COMES NOW the Respondent, Arkansas Department of Correction, and for its MOTION TO DISMISS, states and responds as follows:

1. Claimant files an action seeking relief for failure to follow policy based on two actions. He seeks \$12,500.00.
2. In the first part of the claim, Claimant alleges Officer Griffin falsified employee records of various types in completing documents related to the loss of his personal property. He further states that the undersigned attorney violated the employee conduct standards, but does not state any facts to support that allegation.
3. Furthermore, as previously stated on numerous occasion, the Employee Conduct Standards are internal employee evaluation policies to which Claimant is not entitled to have and cannot raise a claim under.
4. These claims arose out of the matter previously addressed in claim case 13-0753-CC which was dismissed.
5. In the second issue in this claim, Claimant alleges that Respondent ADC knew about a statement of person allegedly related to his PREA allegation.
6. This claim was previously addressed in claims commission case No. 13-0306 and was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
7. Both of these claims have been previously before the commission in other cases and Claimant is barred from relitigating them again.
8. Furthermore, PREA is a federal law and relief from it is proper only in federal court. The ADC has implemented policy and procedure to carry out the provisions of PREA only.
9. Respondent requests that this matter be dismissed for the reasons stated above and the evidence submitted.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above and the evidence submitted, the Claims filed must be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,  
Department of Correction  
Office of Counsel

*Lisa Mills Wilkins*

LISA MILLS WILKINS Ark. Bar #87190

Attorney Supervisor

Post Office Box 8707

Pine Bluff, AR 71611

(870)267-6844 Office

(870)267-6373 Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the MOTION TO DISMISS has been served this 22 day of January, 2014, on the below Claimant by placing a copy of the same in the U. S. Mail, regular postage to:

BILLY AARON (ADC #110649)  
VSM  
P. O. Box 600  
GRADY, AR 71644-0600

*Lisa Mills Wilkins*

LISA MILLS WILKINS Ark. Bar #87190

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION.

Billy Charles Aaron  
AOC # 110649

Arkansas  
State Claims Commission  
FEB 05 2014

CLAIMANT

Case No. 14-0512-CC RECEIVED

Arkansas Department of Correction Respondent

CLAIMANT'S OBJECTION TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW the Claimant, Billy Charles Aaron, and for its OBJECTION TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS, states and responds as follows:

1. Claimant states Col Griffer and Lisa M. Williams violated AR 225 Employee Conduct Standards, Arkansas Code Annotated Court Rules, Arkansas Civil Procedure Rules, Administrative Regulations, Administrative Directive, and Policies and Procedures when they both lied and falsified written, verbal statements, and information to Mr. Norman L. Hayes, Jr. and Arkansas State Claims Commission in order for them to dismiss Claim Cases No. 13-0306 and [redacted]

2. Claimant is showing Lisa Miller Williams lied concerning Case No. 13-0306 about lack of Jurisdiction. According to Administrative Directive 11-63 [redacted] Prison Rape Elimination Act (PRAE) which was approved and signed by [redacted].

Jay Hobbs So Federal Court don't have jurisdiction.  
Which is why the Respondent Arkansas Department of  
Correction implemented AD 11-63 so policy and procedure to  
be carried out. See Effective Date November 18, 2011  
AD 11-63 Page 1 of 11, Page 7 of 11, and 8 of 11.

3. Claimant states Respondent has jurisdiction over  
this case. See AD 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

4. Claimant case is not barred because he never  
mentioned AA 225 Employee Conduct Standards in either  
Claims Commission cases No. 13-0753-CC or  
Claims Commission case No. 13-0306.

5. Relief from PREA is proper also based  
Administrative Directive 11-63 XI. Restriction And Penalties:

6. 2. There shall be yearly reviews conducted by the  
Classification Committee and the Director or appropriate  
Deputy/Assistant Director to determine continuation of  
single-man housing status. The single-man housing status that  
was the result of a finding the inmate meets the definition of  
sexual predator under this policy may be changed by the  
Classification Committee with the Warden's approval. All releases  
of PREA inmates from single-man housing status under  
this policy require approval by the Director or appropriate  
Deputy/Assistant Director. See AD 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination  
Act Page 8 of 11

6. Claimant states Respondent Arkansas Department  
of Correction and Director Jay Hobbs has the right to  
remove PREA according to AD 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination  
Act Page 8 of 11

7. Claimant states See Rule 33 Interrogatories and  
2.

Rule 34 Production of Documents according to Ark. S. Civ. P. and Ark. Code Ann. Court Rules so more facts can be presented to support allegation.

8. Claimant states Rule 34 Production of Documents will show Col Griffith on camera in Cell # on January 02, 2013 with property bags and ADC inmate personal property inventories record forms.

9. Claimant states Rule 34 Production of Documents will show Col Griffith signature of property forms which his employee application and identification card from the Arkansas Department of Correction.

10. Claimant states Rule 34 Production of Documents will show Col Griffith and Lisa Miller violating Ark 225 Employee Conduct Standards both falsified written, verbal statements, and information to Mr. Norman L. Hodges Sr. and Arkansas State Claims Commission in order for them to dismiss Claims Commission Cases No. 13-0753-CC and Claims Commission Case No. 13-0306-CC.

SUBJECT: Employee Conduct Standards  
Administrative Regulations 225 Date: 9/24/96

H. AUTHORITY:

The Board of Correction and Community Punishment (BCCP) is vested with the authority to promulgate this administrative regulation by Ark. Code Ann. § 12-27-105 (Michie Supp. 1995).

## II. PURPOSE:

The purpose of the ADC Employee Conduct Standards Policy is to define Department Standards that each Unit/Division must adhere to. These policies are written so that each Unit/Division may adopt and implement with little or no change or adaptation required. Any changes and/or adaptations must have the Director's approval prior to implementation. Nothing herein is intended to imply that the standards are all inclusive. The standards are intended to identify common problems associated with employee conduct and through positive progressive actions to help the employee achieve success. After it has been determined that an employee has violated a standard or engaged in conduct warranting disciplinary action, the supervisor and manager shall follow the principle of progressive discipline, except in cases of flagrant or extenuating circumstances under which management must react immediately, including discharge of the employee.

As described in this policy, all formal disciplinary actions are progressive in nature except when actions adversely affect security and the good order of Departmental operations. In those situations, progressive disciplinary actions are unnecessary.

When applying progressive discipline, supervisors and managers shall be consistent in all future decisions that are similar in nature. The Personnel Administrator may be contacted for consultation in applying disciplinary sanctions. A committee consisting of five members appointed by the Director may be utilized when applying disciplinary decisions. When necessary, the Personnel Administrator, who chairs the

Committee, and all other full-time members shall confer.

### III. APPLICABILITY:

1. all employees of the Department of Correction.

### IV. OBJECTIVES:

1. Conduct Standards: Rules of behavior by which employees must abide while on duty, while in uniform and/or on state property unless otherwise specified in this policy.

2. Personnel File: The document maintained by the Central Personnel Office which serves as the official copy of an employee's file.

3. Inmate: A person incarcerated within the Department of Correction, or one who has been released and is under release supervision by the Department.

### V. POLICY:

It is the policy of the Department of Correction to ensure that all conduct standards be administered in an objective and good-faith manner.

#### A. SCOPE

Each employee shall be made fully aware of this policy upon employment. The Central Personnel Office will issue Employee Handbook and Personnel Form 225: Employee Conduct Standards to all personnel Form 225-11.

#### B. Responsibilities

## 1. Employee

- To be familiar with conduct standards
- To clearly understand conduct standards.
- To strictly adhere to conduct standards.
- To participate with good faith effort, in administrative investigations of standards violation.
- To cease all activities as defined in any penalty which may be assessed for violation of conduct standards.

## a. Management

- To effectively provide notices to the employees of changes/revisions in the conduct policy through the appropriate chain of command. Revisions to this policy shall be made available to employees through their supervisors.
- To monitor employee conduct to determine compliance with conduct standards.
- To establish and maintain a work environment to help ensure employees can meet the conduct standards.
- To determine based on guidelines and the individual employee's prior conduct and discipline record, the penalty to be assessed upon violation of standards.
- To administer all conduct standards and penalties in an objective and good-faith manner.

## 6. Penalties:

The principle of progressive discipline requires warnings to progressive penalties and assistance to be given in improving unacceptable behavior. Progressive discipline entails

may include verbal warnings, written warnings, suspension, discharge, and other actions as specified in this policy.

To the extent that it is reasonable and within the scope of requirements of this policy, employees shall be given opportunity to alter negative behavior through the use of constructive corrective action.

### A. Penalties

Penalties for violation of standards shall be administered by supervisors, managers, and administrators in a neutral, consistent, and non-discriminatory manner. Management is expected to use good judgement in determining the seriousness of violations and the disciplinary penalties. Supervisors must be able to justify by documented facts in each case that there has been a violation and that the penalty is appropriate. All mitigating circumstances must be considered before taking final action.

4. Discharge: An action to be imposed against an employee whose nature of violation affects the security and good order of departmental operations or the accumulation of violations has exhausted available disciplinary sanctions up to discharge.

### O. Conduct Standards and Penalties for Non-Compliance

Each employee of the Department of Correction shall be provided a copy of W225: Employee Conduct Standards. New employees will be given a copy of this policy at the time of employment or their first day on the job. Policy

Discrimination will be documented by the employee signing an acknowledgment of receipt of the policy. The receipt will be placed in the employee's personnel file. The employee is responsible for reviewing and becoming familiar with the conduct policy. If further explanation of the policy is needed, upon request of the employee, the immediate supervisor shall provide clarification.

Accordingly, all employees of the Department shall be expected to abide by the following standards, which are established as guidelines to give a measure of consistency in the administering of discipline within the Department and other conduct standards, which may be subsequently adopted by the Department. See Employee Conduct Standards

Col Critter and Lisa Mill Wilkins violated AA 225 Employee Conduct Standards b. Violation of Written/Verbal Statements / information to Mr. Norman L. Hodges Jr. and Arkansas State Claims Commission [redacted] in order for them to dismiss Claims Commission Cases NO. 13-0753-CC and Claims Commission Case NO. 13-0306-CC. Col Critter knows about AA 225 Employee Conduct Standards but lied and falsified written, verbal statements, and information to Lisa Mill Wilkins Attorney Supervisor for the Independent Arkansas Department of Correction. Administrative Regulation 225 Employee Conduct Standards for falsifying anything is automatic discharge. I want Col Critter to be [redacted] [redacted] punished to the full degree. Claimant is requesting \$12,500.00 and a hearing set for his complaint filed 8.

against Respondent.

Respondent has violated Administrative Directive 11-63  
Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Page 7 of 11 and  
Page 8 of 11. Claimant is entitled to \$12,500.00 dollars  
for these violations Administrative Regulations and  
Administrative Directives, and Policies and Procedures.

Claimant requests that this matter will not be  
dismissed for the reasons stated in Claimant's  
Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and the  
evidence submitted.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated in Claimant's  
Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and the  
evidence submitted, the claims filed shall not be  
dismissed.

Respectfully Submitted  
Billy Chad Carson  
Mr. Billy C. Carson  
Doc # 110649  
Vernon Superior Court  
P.O. Box 400  
Cred. No. 71644-0400

I certify that a copy of the Claimant's  
Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss has  
been sent this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2014,  
by placing a copy in the U.S. Mail "Vernon  
Superior Mailbox #";

To Arkansas State Claims Commission  
101 E. Capitol Ave.  
Suite 410  
Little Rock Ar 72201-3823

To Lisa Mills Wilkins  
Attorney Supervisor  
P.O. Box 8707  
Line 6111 Ar 71611-8707

### Claimant's Exhibits to Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss

1. Administrative Regulation 225 Employee Conduct Standards
2. Administrative Directive 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
3. Confiscation Form from COL B. Weeks dated December 15, 2012
4. Property Form signed by COL Gritter dated January 02, 2013
5. Property Form dated July 30, 2012
6. Property Form dated December 16, 2008
7. Memorandum from Ronald Wilcher Internal Affairs Division
8. AR 225 O. B. Identification of written, verbal statements,  
and information.
9. YSM 13-03569, YSM 13-03562, OZ 13-01453,  
YSM 13-0228, OZ 13-00079, YSM 13-00406, and OZ 14-00013
10. Administrative Directive 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination  
Act (PREA) Page 7 of 11
11. Administrative Directive 11-63 Prison Rape Elimination  
Act (PREA) Page 8 of 11
12. Claimant's Motion for Production of Documents
13. Claimant's Motion for Interrogatories

STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION DOCKET  
OPINION

Amount of Claim \$ 12,500.00

Claim No. 14-0512-CC

Billy Aaron, #110649 Claimant  
vs.

Attorneys  
Pro se Claimant

Department of Correction Respondent  
State of Arkansas

Lisa Wilkins, Attorney Respondent

Date Filed January 3, 2014

Type of Claim Failure to Follow Procedure

FINDING OF FACTS

The Claims Commission hereby unanimously grants the Respondent's "Motion to Dismiss." The Claimant rehashed a previously filed claim. Therefore, this claim is hereby unanimously denied and dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

(See Back of Opinion Form)

CONCLUSION

The Claims Commission hereby unanimously grants the Respondent's "Motion to Dismiss." The Claimant rehashed a previously filed claim. Therefore, this claim is hereby unanimously denied and dismissed.

Date of Hearing February 13, 2014



Bill H. Clement states that Rogers Chairman,  
Civil Liberties Committee of the State Commission  
violated his rights, codified in 1993, and Richard Madsen Commissioners  
of the State Commission, codified in 1993, and the State Commission  
to be at the hearing. On 16-123-101

Bill H. Clement states that Rogers Chairman,  
Richard Madsen Commissioners, and Richard Madsen Commissioners  
Special Meeting, Article 1.8 Meeting of the Commissioners, Article 1.7  
Article 3.1 Meeting, Article 1.8 Meeting of the Commissioners, Article 1.7

Article 3.1 Hearing, Article 4.1 Hearing are to be  
conducted, Article 4.2 Pre-hearing Conference, Article 4.3 Appearances,  
Article 4.4 Witness Examination Order of Procedure, Article 4.5  
Evidence, Admissibility Generally, Article 4.6 Evidence, Competitive  
Examination and Article 4.7 Evidence, Exhibits

6. Clement states Col G. A. Tea and Lisa M. Williams  
violated 225 Employee Conduct Standards when they  
violated and violated with them, verbal statements, and  
information.

7. Clement is entitled to an oral hearing before  
the Arkansas State Claims Commission according to  
Arkansas Code Annotated Code Rules and Arkansas Civil  
Procedure Rules.

8. Clement is entitled to a hearing in order for  
his rights to be violated, codified in 1993, and the Arkansas  
Civil Rights Act of 1993, codified in 1993, and the Arkansas  
Clement is entitled to \$12,500.00 dollars for  
them violating Administrative Regulations, Administrative  
Directives, and Policies and Procedures.

Claimant requests that this matter will not be dismissed for the reasons stated in Claimant's Motion to Appeal Final Claims Commission Decision and the evidence submitted.

Claimant requests that this matter will not be dismissed for the reasons stated in Claimant's Motion to Appeal Final Claims Commission Decision and the evidence submitted. The claims filed shall not be dismissed. Oral hearings shall be set according to Arkansas Code Annotated. Court rules and Arkansas Civil Procedures.

Copy of this motion has been sent to the State Claims Commission by placing a copy in the U.S. mail. The Claimant's Motion to Appeal Final Claims Commission Decision, February 2014, is hereby filed.

To Arkansas State Claims Comm.  
101 E. Capitol Ave.  
Little Rock Ark 72201-3823

Mr. Bill C. Hanson  
AOC # 110642  
Arkansas Super Court  
P.O. Box 400  
Cred # 71644-0400

To Lisa Mills Wilkins  
Arkansas Super Court  
6018 P.O. Box 8709  
Little Rock Ark 71611-8709

Arkansas  
State Claims Commission  
MAR 04 2014

RECEIVED

B.

21

# ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION



(501) 682-1619  
FAX (501) 682-2823

NORMAN L. HODGES, JR.  
DIRECTOR

101 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE  
SUITE 410  
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201-3823

January 21, 2014

Mr. Billy Aaron, #110649  
P. O. Box 500  
Grady, AR 71644

Re: Billy Aaron  
Claim #14-0512-CC  
Vs.  
Department of Corrections

Dear Mr. Aaron:

Please be advised that the Respondent in the above-styled claim is disputing liability in an "answer" filed on your claim. This letter does not deal with any motions, discovery request or other matters related to this claim.

When liability is contested by the Respondent, the only alternative available to the Claimant is to appear before the Arkansas State Claims Commission at an oral hearing so testimony and evidence may be presented to refute the position of the Respondent. If you wish to attend a hearing on this claim, please notify this office in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days from the date of this letter and a hearing will be arranged.

If you fail to respond to this letter, or do not wish to pursue this claim at a hearing, this claim will be dismissed at the next meeting of the Claims Commission.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "N. L. Hodges".

Norman L. Hodges  
Director

NLH/es

cc: Ms. Lisa Wilkins, Attorney, DOC

Arkansas  
State Claims Commission

MAR 04 2014

RECEIVED

22